

CURRICULUM VITAE: DOUGLAS NEIL WALTON

MAILING ADDRESS:

Centre for Research in Reasoning, Argumentation & Rhetoric (CRRAR)
 University of Windsor (Canterbury College)
 2500 University Ave W. Windsor, Ontario, N9B 3Y1, Canada

CONTACT

Phone: (519) 253-3000 Ext 2362 Fax: (519) 971-3681

DEGREES:

Honors B.A. in Philosophy, University of Waterloo, 1964
 Ph.D. in Philosophy, University of Toronto, June 1972

CURRENT POSITION: Assumption University Chair in Argumentation Studies
 Distinguished Research Fellow: CRRAR

MEMBERSHIPS AND OFFICES HELD IN LEARNED SOCIETIES:

American Philosophical Association
 Canadian Philosophical Association
 Co-Chairman of the Program Committee (1977-78)
 Chairman of the Anglophone Program Committee (1978-79)
 Member of the Board of Directors (1978-80)
 International Society for the Study of Argumentation (ISSA)
 Centre Europeen pour L'Etude de l'Argumentation
 National Communication Association (NCA)
 AISB (Society for the Study of Artificial Intelligence and Simulation of Behavior)
 ASPIC (Argumentation Service Platform with Integrated Components) Network

ACADEMIC EMPLOYMENT HISTORY:

2011: Fernand Braudel Fellow of the Department of Law at the European University
 Institute, Florence, Italy, Jan. 11 to April 10, 2011.
 2009: Graduate Course, 'Tools for Argument Analysis' taught at University of Lugano,
 December 14-15, Lugano, Switzerland
 2008: Assumption University Chair in Argumentation Studies (University of Windsor)
 2008: Distinguished Research Fellow of CRRAR (Centre for Research in Reasoning,
 Argumentation and Rhetoric), University of Windsor
 2007: Visiting Professor, Faculty of Communication, University of Lugano, Switzerland:
 gave a PhD course: Types and Properties of Dialogues

2001: Visiting Professor, Department of Communication, University of Arizona
 1999: Fulbright Senior Fellow and Visiting Professor, Communication Department, Northwestern University
 1997: Distinguished Visiting Research Associate, Oregon Humanities Center
 1989-90: Fellow-in-Residence of the Netherlands Institute for Advanced Study in the Humanities and Social Sciences
 1987-88: Fellow-in-Residence of Netherlands Institute for Advanced Study in the Humanities and Social Sciences
 1982: Full Professor of Philosophy, University of Winnipeg
 1977-86: Adjunct Professor, Faculty of Graduate Studies, University of Manitoba
 1977-81: Associate Professor of Philosophy, University of Winnipeg
 1971-75: Assistant Professor of Philosophy, University of Winnipeg
 1969-71: Lecturer in Philosophy, University of Winnipeg
 1967-68: Instructor in Philosophy, Scarborough College, University of Toronto
 1965-67: Tutor in Philosophy, Victoria College, University of Toronto
 1963-64: Teaching Assistant in Philosophy, University of Waterloo

PROFESSIONAL ACTIVITIES:

Program Committee for COMMA 2012, the Fourth International Conference on Computational Models of Argument, to be held in Vienna, September 10, 2012.
 Program Committee of the JURIX 2011 Conference (24th International Conference on Legal Knowledge and Information Systems), Vienna, December 10-13, 2011.
 Program Committee of the AAI 2011 (American Association for Artificial Intelligence) workshop, Computational Models of Natural Argument, 7 August 2011, San Francisco.
 Program Committee of the Sixth International IJCAI-09 Workshop on Explanation-aware Computing (ExaCt 2011), held in Barcelona, July 16-18, 2011.
 Program Committee of the 13th International Conference on AI and Law (ICAAIL 2011), held at the School of Law, University of Pittsburgh, June 6-10, 2011.
 Program Committee of ArgMAS 2011, the Eighth International Workshop on Argumentation in Multiagent Systems, 3 May 2011, held in Taipei, Taiwan.
 Member of the Scientific Committee of the Colloquium, Inside Arguments – Logic vs. Argumentation Theory, held March 24-26, 2011, Coimbra, Portugal.
 Program Committee of the International Conference on Quantitative Aspects of Justice and Fairness, held at the European University Institute, Florence, Italy, Feb. 25-26, 2011.
 Editorial Board of journal: Artificial Intelligence and Law.
 Editorial Board of journal: Argument and Computation (Taylor and Francis/Routledge).
 Program Committee of the JURIX 2010 Conference (23rd International Conference on Legal Knowledge and Information Systems), Liverpool, December 10-13, 2010.
 Program Committee of the Fifth International Workshop on Explanation-aware Computing (ExaCt 2010), held in Lisbon, Portugal, August 16-17, 2009.
 Program Committee of the CMNA (Computational Models of Natural Argument) Workshop for the ECAI, held in Lisbon, Portugal, August, 2010.
 Co-editor with Giorgio Bongiovanni, Gerald J. Postema, Antonino Rotolof, and Giovanni Sartor of the Handbook of Legal Reasoning and Argumentation (Springer).

Program Committee for the COMMA 2010 (Computational Models of Argument) Conference held in Brescia, Italy, September 8-10, 2010.

Program Committee of the AAAI (American Association for Artificial Intelligence) Symposium, The Uses of Computational Argumentation, held in Washington, DC, November 5-7, 2009.

Program Committee of the Fourth International IJCAI-09 Workshop on Explanation-aware Computing (ExaCt 2009), held in Pasadena, California, July 11-12, 2009.

Program Committee of the CMNA (Computational Models of Natural Argument) Workshop for the ECAI, held in Pasadena, California, July 8-9, 2009.

Program Committee of ArgMAS 2009, the Sixth International Workshop on Argumentation in Multi-Agent Systems, held in association with AAMAS (Eighth International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multi-agent Systems) Budapest, Hungary, May 11, 2009.

Program Committee of NaLELA Workshop (Workshop on the Natural Language Engineering of Legal Argumentation: Language, Logic and Computation) held in Barcelona at ICAIL 2009 (International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law).

Program Committee of the Symposium on Persuasive Technology and Digital Behavior Intervention, Edinburgh, Scotland, April 6-7, 2009.

Program Committee of the ICAIL Workshop on Modeling Legal Cases, held in Barcelona at ICAIL 2009 (International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law).
Editorial Board of journal, Revista Iberoamericana de Argumentación.

Program Committee of the JURIX 2008 Conference (21st International Conference on Legal Knowledge and Information Systems), Florence, Italy, December 10-13, 2008.

Program Committee of the Workshop on the Natural Language Engineering of Legal Argumentation: Language, Logic and Computation, held in conjunction with JURIX 2008 Conference (21st International Conference on Legal Knowledge and Information Systems), Florence, Italy, December, 2008.

Program Committee of the 5th International Workshop on Online Dispute Resolution, held in conjunction with JURIX 2008 Conference (21st International Conference on Legal Knowledge and Information Systems), Florence, Italy, December, 2008.

Program Committee of the Third Workshop on Explanation-aware Computing, held in the ECAI (European Conference on Artificial Intelligence), Patras, Greece, July 21-22, 2008.

Program Committee of the Fifth International Workshop on Argumentation in Multi-Agent Systems, held in conjunction with the Seventh International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems, May 12-16, 2008.

Program Committee of the Second International Conference on Computational Models of Argument (COMMA 08), Toulouse, France, May, 2008.

Program Committee of the Persuasive Technology Symposium, April 1-2, 2008, Aberdeen Scotland, held in conjunction with the AISB (Society for the Study of Artificial Intelligence and Simulation of Behavior) 2008 Convention.

Program Committee of the Workshop, Strategies in Argumentation, University of Groningen, Holland, February 14-15, 2008.

Program Committee of the JURIX 2007 Workshop on Modeling Legal Cases, December 12, 2007, JURIX Conference (20th International Conference on Legal Knowledge and Information Systems), Leiden, December, 2007.

Program Committee of the CMNA (Computational Models of Natural Argument) Workshop for the IJCAI, held in Hyderabad, India, 2007.

Program Committee of the 4th International Workshop on Argumentation in Multi-Agent Systems (ArgMAS) at the 7th International Conference of AAMAS (Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems), held in Estoril, Portugal, 2007.

Program Committee of the First International Conference on Computational Models of Argument (COMMA06), held at the University of Liverpool in September, 2006.

Program Committee of the 6th CMNA (Computational Models of Natural Argument) Workshop for the European Conference on Artificial Intelligence (ECAI 2006).

Program Committee of the workshop, Argumentation in Artificial Intelligence and Law, First International Conference on Computational Models of Argument (COMMA06).

Program Committee of the Argumentation in AI and Law Workshop for the ICAIL05 Conference (International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law), held at the University of Bologna, Italy, June 10, 2005.

Program Committee of the Second International Workshop on Online Dispute Resolution Workshop (odrworkshop.org), for the ICAIL05 Conference (International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law), held at the University of Bologna, Italy, June 10, 2005.

Program Committee of the CMNA (Computational Models of Natural Argument) Workshop (<http://www.csc.liv.ac.uk/~floriana/CMNA5/>) for the IJCAI 2005 Meeting (International Joint Conferences on Artificial Intelligence), 2005.

Program Committee of the Workshop on ODR (Online Dispute Resolution) at the ICAIL (International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law) in Edinburgh, June 2003.

Chairman of session at the Workshop on Legal and Technical Aspects of Online Dispute Resolution in the International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law, University of Edinburgh, June 28, 2003.

Program Committee of the CMNA (Computational Models of Natural Argument) Workshop for the 16th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 2004.

Co-editor of Critical Argumentation book series for Cambridge University Press.

Editorial Boards of Argumentation Library book series for Springer Verlag, and Studies in Meaning and Communication book series for Davies Group.

Program Committee of the Workshop 'Computational Models of Natural Argument' at the 15th European Conference of Artificial Intelligence (ECAI 2002).

Referee: Research Proposal in Artificial Intelligence for the Engineering and Research Council of Great Britain, 1999, Psychological Review, National Science Foundation.

Dialogue, (Journal of the Canadian Philosophical Association), Philosophical Studies, MacMillan Publishing Co., New York, University of California Press, Greenwood Press, Canadian Federation for the Humanities, Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council, Noûs, Synthese, American Council of Learned Societies, Guggenheim Memorial Foundation, Canadian Philosophical Association, Western Canadian Philosophical Association, Canadian Journal of Philosophy, Philosophy of Science.

Editorial Board, Dialogue, 1976-1986; Argumentation and Advocacy, 2003-2007.

Journals Editorial Board: Informal Logic, Argumentation, Argumentation & Advocacy, Philosophy & Rhetoric, and Episteme.

Editor of special issue of Argumentation, vol. 28, no. 3, 1994, 'Begging the Question', including 'Editor's Introduction', 215-216.

Guest Editor of special issue of Argumentation on 'Begging the Question', 1993.

Board of Editorial Consultants, *American Philosophical Quarterly*, 1989-1992.
 Lecturer, Summer Institute on Argumentation, McMaster University, June 10-21, 1991.
 Lecturer in the Summer Institute on Argumentation at the University of Amsterdam, June, 1990.
 Invited keynote speaker at the First International Conference on Argumentation in Amsterdam, 1986.
 Editor of Special Issue of *Argumentation* (vol. 2, no. 4, 1988, pp. 391-538), 'Argumentation in Dialogues', including 'Editor's Introduction', p. 393, and 'General Bibliography on the Argumentative Structure of Dialogue', pp. 533-534.
 Editor, *The Logic of Dialogue*, special issue of *Synthese*, Vol. 63, No. 3, June 1985.
 Co-editor, with Jari Talja, 'Action Theory', issue of *Synthese*, 65, No. 2, 1985.
 Contributing Member, Center on Aging.
 Editor, *Death and Dying in Medicine*, issue of *Theoretical Medicine*, vol. 5, no. 2, 1984.
 Member: Board of Directors of the Institute for Applied Ethics of the University of Manitoba, 1985.
 Adjunct Scholar: Department of Linguistics, University of Manitoba, 1984-85.
 Guest Editor of special issue of *Synthese* on the topic, "The Logic of Dialogue", June 1985 number.
 Guest Co-Editor (with Jari Talja) of special issue of *Synthese* on the topic, "Logic of Actions", November 1985, vol. 65, no. 2.
 Guest Editor of the June, 1984 issue of *Theoretical Medicine* on "Death and Dying in Medicine".
 Editorial Board of Communication and Cognition book series *Studies in Action Theory*, and *Argumentation Library* book series (Springer).
 Invited chairman and organizer of symposium on Argumentation and Fallacies for the 17th World Congress of Philosophy in Montreal, 1983.
 Chairman, Anglophone Program Committee, Canadian Philosophical Association, 1979.
 Board of Directors of the Canadian Philosophical Association, 1978-80.
 Program Committee, Canadian Philosophical Association, 1978.
 Member, Editorial Board, *Philosophy Research Archives*.
 Director, Conference on Philosophy of Religion at University of Winnipeg, 1975.
 Co-Director, the Winnipeg Conference on Human Action, 1975.
 Member of the Manitoba Regional Working Group of the Man and Resources Program of the Canadian Council of Research and Environmental Ministers, 1974-75.

PRIZES AND AWARDS:

2009: Faculty of Arts and Social Sciences Dean's Special Recognition Award in Recognition of Excellence in Research, Scholarly Activity and Creativity: University of Windsor, February 10.
 2005: A study approved by SSHRC, and carried out in 2003-2004 by scholars at the University of Guelph (Retention of SSHRC High-Performers), identified me as a "high-performing researcher" among Standard Research Grant Recipients in Canada.
 1990: Winner of the ISSA Prize for contributions to research in the field of argumentation, awarded by the International Society for the Study of Argumentation in June 1990 in Amsterdam.

1988: Erica and Arnold Rogers Award for Excellence in Scholarship and Research.
 1985: Winner of the American Philosophical Quarterly Prize Essay Competition: Are Circular Arguments Necessarily Vicious?

CURRENT AREAS OF SCHOLARLY INTEREST:

Argumentation, Artificial Intelligence, and Legal Argumentation.

COURSES TAUGHT (last five years):

Logic, Introduction to Logical Reasoning, Argumentation, Graduate Seminar in Argumentation and Communication (Northwestern University), Senior Course on Legal Argumentation and Evidence, University of Arizona, Graduate Course, Types and Properties of Dialogues given at University of Lugano, Switzerland, 2007.

GRADUATE SUPERVISORSHIPS:

External Examiner for the Ph.D. thesis ‘Communication Processes in eLearning Design and Development’ of Chrysi Rapanta in the Faculty of Communication of the University of Lugano, Switzerland, September 26, 2011.

External Examiner for the Ph.D. of Alena Vasilyeva in the Graduate Program in Communication, Information and Library Studies at Rutgers University, 17 August 2010.

External Examiner for the Ph.D. thesis oral defense (viva) of Katie Atkinson, Department of Computer Science, University of Liverpool, England, December 5, 2005. Title of thesis: Computational Representation of Persuasive Argument in Practical Reasoning.

External Examiner for ‘The Dialectic of Ambiguity’, Ph.D. thesis of Jan van Laar in the Department of Analytical Philosophy at the University of Groningen, The Netherlands, June 19, 2003.

External Examiner (Fakulteit Opponent) for ‘Authority-Based Argumentative Strategies’, Ph.D. thesis of Taeda Jovicic in the Department of Philosophy at the University of Uppsala, Sweden, June 3, 2002.

M.A. Thesis Committee of Jeffrey Yik Fei Lau on ‘The Problem of Realism in Linguistic Ontology’ for Faculty of Graduate Studies, University of Manitoba, 1985.

External examiner for Ph.D. dissertation for Joseph Campbell, Department of Psychology, University of Manitoba, 1983, on medical ethics.

Internal Reader for M.A. Thesis of Katharina von Radziewsky, ‘Pulling Strings: Using Rhetoric to Deal with Subjectivity in Argumentation’, June 29, 2011.

RESEARCH FUNDING (last fifteen years):

2008-2011: Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada, Research Grant 410-2008-0065: Argumentation in Artificial Intelligence and Law (Application ranked 6 out of 122 reviewed in the interdisciplinary category) Amount awarded = \$98,400.

2005-2008: Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada, Research Grant 410-2005-0398: Dialogue Systems for Argumentation in Artificial Intelligence and

Law (Application ranked 20 out of 109 reviewed in the interdisciplinary category)
 Amount awarded = \$62,264.
 2002-2005: Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada, Research Grant 410-2002-0690: Argumentation Schemes in Natural and Artificial Communication (Application ranked 28 out of 145 reviewed in the interdisciplinary category). Amount awarded = \$91,760.
 1999-2002: Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada, Research Grant 410-99-0877: The Dialogue Structure of Legal Argumentation.
 1998: Fulbright Research Fellowship (Canada-U.S. Fulbright Fellowship Program).
 1997: Distinguished Visiting Research Fellow, Oregon Humanities Center.
 1996-97: Research Associate in Philosophy, University of Western Australia.
 1997: University of Winnipeg Discretionary Grant: Relevance: A Pragmatic Theory.
 1997: University of Winnipeg Teaching and Learning Technologies Grant: Automated Argument Reconstruction.
 1995: University of Winnipeg Discretionary Grant: Ad Hominem Arguments.
 1994-97: Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada, Research Grant 410-94-0225: Pragmatics of Argumentation.
 1991-94: Social Sciences and Humanities Research Council of Canada, Research Grant 410-91-0585: Pragmatics of Argumentation.

LIFETIME PUBLICATIONS:

Peer Reviewed Books

Witness Testimony Evidence: Argumentation, Artificial Intelligence and Law, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2008.

Informal Logic: A Pragmatic Approach, 2nd ed., Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2008.

Douglas Walton, Chris Reed and Fabrizio Macagno, Argumentation Schemes, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2008.

Fallacies: Selected Papers 1972-1982, with John Woods, 2nd edition, with Foreword by Dale Jacquette, Studies in Logic, London, College Publications, 2007.

Media Argumentation: Dialectic, Persuasion and Rhetoric, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2007.

Dialog Theory for Critical Argumentation, Amsterdam, John Benjamins Publishing Company, 2007.

Fundamentals of Critical Argumentation, New York, Cambridge University Press, 2006.

Character Evidence: An Abductive Theory, Dordrecht, Springer, 2006.

Argumentation Methods for Artificial Intelligence in Law, Berlin, Springer (Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence Series), 2005.

Abductive Reasoning, Tuscaloosa, University of Alabama Press, 2004.

Essays on Legal and Technical Aspects of Online Dispute Resolution: Papers from the ICAIL 2003 ODR Workshop, June 28, 2003, Edinburgh, co-edited with Arno R. Lodder et al., Amsterdam, CEDIRE: Centre for Electronic Dispute Resolution, 2004.

Relevance in Argumentation, Mahwah, New Jersey, Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 2004.

Legal Argumentation and Evidence, University Park, Pennsylvania, The Pennsylvania State University Press, 2002.

Ethical Argumentation, Lanham, Maryland, Lexington Books, 2002.

Scare Tactics: Arguments that Appeal to Fear and Threats, Dordrecht, Kluwer Academic Publishers (Argumentation Library Series), 2000.

Argument, Critical Thinking, Logic and the Fallacies, with John Woods and Andrew Irvine, Toronto, Prentice Hall, 2000 (Revised and Expanded edition of Argument: The Logic of the Fallacies, 1982).

Appeal to Popular Opinion, University Park, Pa., The Pennsylvania State University Press, 1999.

One-Sided Arguments: A Dialectical Analysis of Bias, Albany, New York, State University of New York Press (SUNY Series in Logic and Language), 1999.

Slippery Slope Arguments, Newport News, Virginia, Vale Press (Studies in Critical Thinking and Informal Logic Series), 1999. Reprinting of book first published in Clarendon Library of Logic and Philosophy Series, 1992.

The New Dialectic: Conversational Contexts of Argument, Toronto, University of Toronto Press (Toronto Studies in Philosophy Series), 1998.

Ad Hominem Arguments, Tuscaloosa, University of Alabama Press (Studies in Rhetoric and Communication Series), 1998.

Appeal to Pity: Argumentum Ad Misericordiam, Albany, State University of New York Press (SUNY Series in Logic and Language), 1997.

Appeal to Expert Opinion: Arguments from Authority, University Park, Pa., The Pennsylvania State University Press, 1997.

Historical Foundations of Informal Logic, co-edited with Alan Brinton, Aldershot, England, Ashgate Publishing (Avebury Series in Philosophy), 1997 (including 'Introduction', with A. Brinton, pp. 1-11).

Arguments from Ignorance, University Park, Pa., The Pennsylvania State University Press, 1996.

Argumentation Schemes for Presumptive Reasoning, Mahwah, N. J., Lawrence Erlbaum Associates (Studies in Argumentation Series), 1996.

Argument Structure: A Pragmatic Theory, Toronto, University of Toronto Press (Toronto Studies in Philosophy Series), 1996.

Fallacies Arising from Ambiguity, Dordrecht, Kluwer (Applied Logic Series), 1996.

A Pragmatic Theory of Fallacy, Tuscaloosa, Alabama, University of Alabama Press (Studies in Rhetoric and Communication), 1995.

Commitment in Dialogue, with Erik Krabbe, Albany, New York, State University of New York Press (SUNY Series in Logic and Language), 1995.

Slippery Slope Arguments, Oxford, Oxford University Press, (Clarendon Library of Logic and Philosophy) 1992.

Plausible Argument in Everyday Conversation, Albany, New York, State University of New York Press, SUNY Series in Speech Communication, 1992.

The Place of Emotion in Argument, University Park, Pa., The Pennsylvania State University Press, 1992.

Begging the Question: Circular Reasoning as a Tactic of Argumentation, New York, Greenwood Press, 1991.

Practical Reasoning: Goal-Driven, Knowledge-Based, Action-Guiding Argumentation, Savage, Maryland, Rowman and Littlefield, 1990.

Informal Logic: A Handbook for Critical Argumentation, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1989.

Question-Reply Argumentation, New York, Greenwood Press, 1989.

Fallacies: Selected Papers 1972-1982, with John Woods, Dordrecht, Holland, and Providence, U.S.A., Foris Publications, 1989.

Informal Fallacies: Towards a Theory of Argument Criticisms, Amsterdam and Philadelphia, John Benjamins, 1987. (Pragmatics and Beyond Companion Series in

Linguistics), 1987.

Courage: A Philosophical Investigation, Berkeley, Los Angeles and London, University of California Press, 1986.

Arguer's Position: A Pragmatic Study of Ad Hominem Attack, Criticism, Refutation and Fallacy, Westport, Connecticut, Greenwood Press, 1985.

Physician-Patient Decision-Making: A Study in Medical Ethics, Westport, Connecticut, Greenwood Press, 1985.

Logical Dialogue-Games and Fallacies, Lanham, Maryland, University Press of America, 1984.

Ethics of Withdrawal of Life-Support Systems: Case Studies on Decision-Making in Intensive Care, Westport, Connecticut, Greenwood Press, 1983.

Topical Relevance in Argumentation, III: 8 of Pragmatics & Beyond: An Interdisciplinary Series of Language Studies, Amsterdam and Philadelphia. John Benjamins Publishing Co., 1982.

Argument: The Logic of the Fallacies, with John Woods, Toronto and New York, McGraw-Hill Ryerson, 1982.

Brain Death: Ethical Considerations, Purdue University Press, Science and Society Series of the Purdue Research Foundation, West Lafayette, Indiana, 1980.

On Defining Death: An Analytic Study of the Concept of Death in Philosophy and Medical Ethics, Montreal, McGill-Queens University Press, 1979.

The Power of God: Readings on Omnipotence and Evil, edited with Linwood Urban, New York, Oxford University Press, 1978.

Action Theory, co-edited with Myles Brand, Synthese Library Series, Dordrecht, Reidel, 1976.

Peer Reviewed Contributions to Books

'Conductive Arguments in Ethical Deliberation', *Conductive Argument: An Overlooked Type of Defeasible Reasoning*, ed. J.A. Blair & R.H. Johnson. London: College Publications, 2011, 191-209.

Erik C. W. Krabbe and Douglas Walton, 'Formal Dialectical Systems and their Uses in the Study of Argumentation', *Keeping in Touch with Pragma-Dialectics*, ed. Eveline Feteris, Bart Garssen, and Francisca Snoek Henkemaans, Amsterdam, John Benjamins Publishing Company, 2011, 245-263.

‘An Argumentation Model of Deliberative Decision-Making’, *Technologies for Supporting Reasoning Communities and Collaborative Decision Making: Cooperative Approaches*, ed. John Yearwood and Andrew Stranieri, Hershey, Pa., IGI Global, 2011, 1-17.

Douglas Walton, Katie Atkinson, Trevor Bench-Capon, Adam Wyner and Dan Cartwright, ‘Argumentation in the Framework of Deliberation Dialogue’, *Arguing Global Governance*, ed. Corneliu Bjola and Markus Kornprobst, London, Routledge, 2010, 210-230.

‘Argumentation Models for Persuasion Dialogue’, *Psychology of Persuasion*, ed. Janos Csapo and Andor Magyar, New York, Nova Science Publishers, 2010, 1-34.

‘Burden of Proof in Deliberation Dialogs’, *Argumentation in Multi-Agent Systems*, ed. Peter McBurney, Iyad Rahwan, Simon Parsons and Nicolas Maudet, *Lecture Notes in Artificial Intelligence 6057*, Berlin, Springer, 2010, 1-22.

‘Argumentation Theory: A Very Short Introduction’, *Argumentation and Artificial Intelligence*, ed. Iyad Rahwan and Guillermo Simari, Berlin, Springer, 2009, 1-24.

Thomas F. Gordon and Douglas Walton, ‘Proof Burdens and Standards’, *Argumentation and Artificial Intelligence*, ed. Iyad Rahwan and Guillermo Simari, Berlin, Springer, 2009, 239-260.

Douglas Walton and David M. Godden, ‘Rescher on Dialog Systems, Argumentation and Burden of Proof’, *Rescher Studies: A Collection of Essays on the Philosophical Work of Nicholas Rescher, Presented to Him on the Occasion of His 80th Birthday*, ed. Robert Almeder, Frankfurt, Ontos Verlag, 2008, 401-427.

‘Bias, Critical Doubt and Fallacies’, *Readings on Argumentation*, ed. Angela J. Aguayo and Timothy R. Steffensmeier, State College, Pennsylvania, Strata Publishing, 2008, 168-190.

Douglas Walton and David M. Godden, ‘Informal Logic and the Dialectical Approach to Argument’, *Reason Reclaimed*, ed. Hans V. Hansen and Robert C. Pinto, Newport News, Virginia, Vale Press, 2007, 3-17.

Douglas Walton and David M. Godden, ‘The Impact of Argumentation on Artificial Intelligence’, *Considering Pragma-Dialectics*, ed. Peter Houtlosser and Agnes van Rees, Mahwah, New Jersey, Erlbaum, 2006, 287-299.

Chris Reed and Douglas Walton, ‘Towards a Formal and Implemented Model of Argumentation Schemes in Agent Communication’, *Argumentation in Multi-Agent Systems: First International Workshop, ArgMAS 2004, Revised Selected and Invited Papers*, ed. Iyad Rahwan, Pavlos Moraitis and Chris Reed, Berlin, Springer, 2005, 19-30.

'Practical Reasoning' (revised version), *The Blackwell Encyclopedia of Management*, 2nd ed., vol. 2, *Business Ethics*, ed. Patricia H. Werhane and R. Edward Freeman, Oxford, Blackwell, 2005, 414-415.

'Practical Reasoning and Proposing: Tools for e-Democracy', *Legal Knowledge and Information Systems*, ed. Marie-Francine Moens and Peter Spyns, Amsterdam, IOS Press, 2005, 113-114.

'Criteria of Rationality for Evaluating Democratic Public Rhetoric', *Talking Democracy: Historical Perspectives on Rhetoric and Democracy*, ed. Benedetto Fontana, Cary J. Nederman and Gary Remer, University Park, Pa., Penn State Press, 2004, 295-330.

Chris Reed and Douglas Walton, 'Diagramming, Argumentation Schemes and Critical Questions', *Anyone Who Has a View: Theoretical Contributions to the Study of Argumentation*, ed. Frans H. van Eemeren, J. Anthony Blair, Charles A. Willard and A. Francisca Snoeck Henkemans, Dordrecht, Kluwer, 2003, 195-211.

Timothy J. Norman, Daniela V. Carbogim, Erik C. W. Krabbe and Douglas Walton, 'Argument and Multi-Agent Systems', *Argumentation Machines: New Frontiers in Argument and Computation*, ed. Chris Reed and Timothy J. Norman, Dordrecht, Kluwer, 2003, 15-54.

'Ad Hominem Argument', *Encyclopedia of Rhetoric*, ed. Thomas O. Sloane, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 2001, 1-4.

'Courage' (revised version), *Encyclopedia of Ethics*, vol. I, 2nd ed., ed. Lawrence C. Becker and Charlotte B. Becker, New York, Routledge, 2001, 352-355.

'Practical Reason(ing)' (revised version), *Encyclopedia of Ethics*, vol. III, 2nd ed., ed. Lawrence C. Becker and Charlotte B. Becker, New York, Routledge, 2001, 1355-1358.

'Persuasive Definition', *Encyclopedia of Ethics*, vol. III, 2nd ed., ed. Lawrence C. Becker and Charlotte B. Becker, New York, Routledge, 2001, 1303-1305.

'Conversational Logic and Appeals to Emotion', *Les Emotions dans les Interactions*, ed. Christian Plantin, Marianne Doury and Veronique Traverso, Lyon, Presses Universitaires de Lyon, 2000, 295-312.

'Argumentation and Theory of Evidence', *New Trends in Criminal Investigation and Evidence*, vol. 2, ed. C. M. Breur, M. M. Kommer, J. F. Nijboer and J. M. Reintjes, Antwerp, Intersentia, 2000, 711-732.

'Informal Fallacy', *The Cambridge Dictionary of Philosophy*, ed. Robert Audi 2nd ed., Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1999, 431-435.

‘Informal Logic’, *The Cambridge Dictionary of Philosophy*, ed. Robert Audi, 2nd ed., Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 1999, 435.

‘Fallacies’, *Routledge Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, vol. 3, ed. Edward Craig, London, Routledge, 1998, 544-545.

‘Formal and Informal Logic’, *Routledge Encyclopedia of Philosophy*, vol. 3, ed. Edward Craig, London, Routledge, 1998, 701-703.

‘Actions and Inconsistency: The Closure Problem of Practical Reasoning’, *Contemporary Action Theory*, vol. 1, ed. G. Holmstrom-Hintikka and R. Tuomela, Dordrecht, Kluwer, 1997, 159-175.

‘Practical Reasoning’, *The Blackwell Encyclopedic Dictionary of Business Ethics*, ed. Patricia H. Werhane and R. Edward Freeman, Oxford, Blackwell, 1997, 495-496.

‘The Straw Man Fallacy’, in *Logic and Argumentation*, ed. Johan van Benthem, Frans H. van Eemeren, Rob Grootendorst and Frank Veltman, Amsterdam, Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences, North-Holland, 1996, 115-128.

‘Fallacies and Formal Logic’, with John Woods, in *Fundamentals of Argumentation Theory*, ed. Frans H. van Eemeren, Rob Grootendorst et al., Mahwah, N. J., Lawrence Erlbaum Associates, 1996, 389-407.

‘The Essential Ingredients of the Fallacy of Begging the Question’, in *Fallacies: Classical and Contemporary Readings*, ed. Hans V. Hansen and Robert C. Pinto, University Park, Pa., Penn State Press, 1995, 229-239.

‘Informal Fallacy’, *The Cambridge Dictionary of Philosophy*, ed. Robert Audi, Cambridge University Press, 1995, 373-376.

‘Informal Logic’, *The Cambridge Dictionary of Philosophy*, ed. Robert Audi, Cambridge University Press, 1995, 376.

‘Arguments, Types of’, *Oxford Companion to Philosophy*, ed. Ted Honderich, Oxford University Press, 1995, 48-49.

‘Types of Dialogue, Dialectical Shifts and Fallacies’, *Argumentation Illuminated*, ed. Frans van Eemeren, Rob Grootendorst, J. Anthony Blair, and Charles A. Willard, Amsterdam, SICSAT, 1992, 133-147.

Entry for ‘Courage’, *Encyclopedia of Ethics*, New York, Garland Publishing Co., 1992, 220-223.

Entry for ‘Practical Reasoning’, *Encyclopedia of Ethics*, New York, Garland Publishing Co., 1992, 996-1000.

'Questionable Questions in Question Period: Prospects for an Informal Logic of Parliamentary Discourse', in the Proceedings of the Conference 'Logic and Politics', ed. E. M. Barth and E. C. W. Krabbe, published by the Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences, Amsterdam, North-Holland, 1992, 87-95.

Entry for 'Informal Fallacies', in *A Companion to Epistemology*, ed. Jonathan Dancy and Ernest Sosa, Oxford, Blackwell, 1992, pp. 212-216.

Entries for 'Burden of Proof', p. 55, 'Circular Reasoning', p. 66, and 'Genetic Fallacy', pp. 154-155, in *A Companion to Epistemology*, ed. Jonathan Dancy and Ernest Sosa, Oxford, Blackwell, 1992.

'Les Violations des Règles du Dialogue Raisoné', *La Communauté en Paroles: Communication, Consensus, Ruptures*, ed. Herman Parret, Collection Philosophie et Langage, Liège, Editions Pierre Mardaga, 1991, 245-265.

'Types de Dialogue et Glissements Dialectiques en Argumentation', in *Figures et Conflicts Rhétoriques*, ed. Michel Meyer et Alain Lempereur, Brussels, Editions de l'Université de Bruxelles, 1990, 227-239.

'Question-Asking Fallacies', *Questions and Questioning*, ed. Michel Meyer, Berlin, Walter de Gruyter, 1988, 195-221.

'What is a Fallacy?', in *Argumentation: Across the Lines of Discipline*, ed. Frans H. van Eemeren, Rob Grootendorst, J. Anthony Blair and Charles A. Willard, Dordrecht, Holland, and Providence, Rhode Island, Foris Publications, 1986, 323-330.

'Chisholm's Theory of Action', in Roderick Chisholm, ed. Radu J. Bogdan (*Profiles Series*), Dordrecht, Reidel, 1986, 169-193.

'Lehrer on Action, Freedom and Determinism', in Keith Lehrer, ed. Radu J. Bogdan (*Profiles Series*), Dordrecht, Reidel, 1981, 107-128.

'Freedom within Omnipotence', with P. Linwood Urban, in *The Power of God*, ed. P. Linwood Urban and Douglas Walton, Oxford University Press, 1978, 192-207.

'Modalities in the Free Will Defence', *Religious Studies*, 10, 1974, 325-331. Reprinted in Linwood Urban and Douglas Walton (eds.), *The Power of God*, New York, Oxford University Press, 1978, 240-248.

'Time and Modality in the 'Can' of Opportunity', in *Action Theory*, ed. Myles Brand and Douglas Walton, Dordrecht, Reidel, 1976, 271-287.

Peer Reviewed Journal Articles

‘Teleological Argumentation to and from Motives’, *Law, Probability and Risk*, 10, 2011, 203-223.

‘How to Refute an Argument Using Artificial Intelligence’, *Studies in Logic, Grammar and Rhetoric*, 23 (36), 2011, XX-XX.

‘A Dialogue System Specification for Explanation’, *Synthese*, 182 (3), 2011, 349-374.

‘Computational Dialectic and Rhetorical Invention’, *AI & Society*, 26(1), 2011, 3-17.

‘Argument Mining by Applying Argumentation Schemes’, *Studies in Logic*, 4(1), 2011, 38-64.

Douglas Walton and Fabrizio Macagno, ‘Quotations and Presumptions: Dialogical Effects of Misquotations’, *Informal Logic*, 31, 2011, 26-54.

Fabrizio Macagno and Douglas Walton, ‘Reasoning from Paradigms and Negative Evidence’, *Pragmatics and Cognition*, 19(1), 2011, 92-116.

‘Defeasible Reasoning and Informal Fallacies’, *Synthese*, 178(3), 2011, 377-407.

‘Similarity, Precedent and Argument from Analogy’, *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, 18 (3), 2010, 217-246.

Fabrizio Macagno and Douglas Walton, ‘Ragionare per Dicotomie: Struttura Argomentativa e Usinel Common Law’, *Ars Interpretandi*, 28, 2011, 167-187.

‘The Structure of Argumentation in Health Product Messages’, *Argument and Computation* 1(3), 2010, 179-198.

‘Why Fallacies Appear to be Better Arguments than They Are’, *Informal Logic*, 30(2), 2010, 159-184.

Douglas Walton and Fabrizio Macagno, ‘Wrenching from Context: The Manipulation of Commitments’, *Argumentation*, 24 (3), 2010, 283-317.

‘A Dialogue Theory of Belief’, *Argument and Computation* 1(1), 2010, 23-46.

Fabrizio Macagno and Douglas Walton, ‘What We Hide in Words: Emotive Words and Persuasive Definitions’, *Journal of Pragmatics*, 42, 2010, 1997-2013.

Fabrizio Macagno and Douglas Walton, ‘Dichotomies and Oppositions in Legal Argumentation’, *Ratio Juris*, 23 (2), 2010, 229-257.

‘Formalization of the Ad Hominem Argumentation Scheme’, *Journal of Applied Logic*, 8, 2010, 1-21.

Douglas Walton and Fabrizio Macagno, 'Defeasible Classifications and Inferences from Definitions', *Informal Logic*, 30(1), 2010, 34-61.

Douglas Walton and Thomas F. Gordon, 'Jumping to a Conclusion: Fallacies and Standards of Proof', *Informal Logic*, 29, 2009, 215-243.

Fabrizio Macagno and Douglas Walton, 'Argument from Analogy in Law, the Classical Tradition and Recent Theories', *Philosophy & Rhetoric*, 42, 2009, 154-182.

Douglas Walton and Fabrizio Macagno, 'Enthymemes, Argumentation Schemes and Topics', *Logique et Analyse*, 52, no. 205, 2009, 39-56.

Douglas Walton and Fabrizio Macagno, 'Classification and Ambiguity: The Role of Definition in a Conceptual System', *Studies in Logic, Grammar and Rhetoric*, 16(29), 2009, 245-264.

'Dialectical Shifts Underlying Arguments from Consequences', *Informal Logic*, 29, 2009, 54-83.

Douglas Walton and Fabrizio Macagno, 'Reasoning from Classifications and Definitions', *Argumentation*, 23, 2009, 81-107.

'Presumption, Burden of Proof and Lack of Evidence', *L'Analisi Linguistica e Letteraria*, 16, 2008, 49-71.

'Proleptic Argumentation', *Argumentation and Advocacy*, 44, 2008, 143-154.

Fabrizio Macagno and Douglas Walton, 'Persuasive Definitions, Values, Meanings and Implicit Disagreements', *Informal Logic*, 28, 2008, 203-228.

'A Dialogical Theory of Presumption', *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, 16, 2008, 209-243.

Fabrizio Macagno and Douglas Walton, 'The Argumentative Structure of Persuasive Definitions', *Ethical Theory and Moral Practice*, 11, 2008, 525-549.

'The Three Bases for the Enthymeme: A Dialogical Theory', *Journal of Applied Logic*, 6, 2008, 361-379.

'Can Argumentation Help AI to Understand Explanation?', *Kunstliche Intelligenz*, 22(2), 2008, 8-12.

David M. Godden and Douglas Walton, 'Defeasibility in Judicial Opinion: Logical or Procedural?', *Informal Logic*, 28, 2008, 6-19.

'Argument from Definition to Verbal Classification: 'The Case of Redefining 'Planet' to Exclude Pluto', *Informal Logic*, 28, 2008, 129-154.

Douglas Walton and Chris Reed, 'Evaluating Corroborative Evidence', *Argumentation*, 22, 2008, 531-553.

David M. Godden and Douglas Walton, 'A Theory of Presumption for Everyday Argumentation', *Pragmatics and Cognition*, 15, 2007, 313-346.

Chris Reed, Douglas Walton and Fabrizio Macagno, 'Argument Diagramming in Logic, Law and Artificial Intelligence', *Knowledge Engineering Review*, 22, 2007, 87-109.

Thomas F. Gordon, Henry Prakken and Douglas Walton, 'The Carneades Model of Argument and Burden of Proof', *Artificial Intelligence*, 171, 2007, 875-896.

'Evaluating Practical Reasoning', *Synthese: An International Journal for Epistemology, Logic and Philosophy of Science*, 157, 2007, 197-240.

Douglas Walton and Fabrizio Macagno, 'Types of Dialogue, Dialectical Relevance and Textual Congruity', *Anthropology and Philosophy*, 8, 2007, 101-121.

'Visualization Tools, Argumentation Schemes and Expert Opinion Evidence in Law', *Law, Probability and Risk*, 6, 2007, 119-140.

Douglas Walton and Fabrizio Macagno, 'The Fallaciousness of Threats: Character and Ad Baculum', *Argumentation*, 21, 2007, 63-81.

David M. Godden and Douglas Walton, 'Advances in the Theory of Argumentation Schemes and Critical Questions', *Informal Logic*, 27, 2007, 245-270.

'Clarification Dialogue', *Studies in Communication Sciences*, 7, 2007, 165-197.

'Metadialogues for Resolving Burden of Proof Disputes', *Argumentation*, 21, 2007, 291-316.

Glenn Rowe, Fabrizio Macagno, Chris Reed and Douglas Walton, 'Araucaria as a Tool for Diagramming Arguments in Teaching and Studying Philosophy', *Teaching Philosophy*, 29, 2006, 111-124.

Douglas Walton and David M. Godden, 'The Impact of Argumentation on Artificial Intelligence', *Considering Pragma-Dialectics*, ed. Peter Houtlosser and Agnes van Rees, Mahwah, New Jersey, Erlbaum, 2006, 287-299.

'Epistemic and Dialectical Models of Begging the Question', *Synthese: An International Journal for Epistemology, Logic and Philosophy of Science*, 152, 2006, 237-284.

'Poisoning the Well', *Argumentation*, 20, 2006, 273-307.

Douglas Walton and Fabrizio Macagno, 'Common Knowledge in Argumentation', *Studies in Communication Sciences*, 6, 2006, 3-26.

'Examination Dialogue: An Argumentation Framework for Critically Questioning an Expert Opinion', *Journal of Pragmatics*, 38, 2006, 745-777.

David M. Godden and Douglas Walton, 'Argument from Expert Opinion as Legal Evidence: Critical Questions and Admissibility Criteria of Expert Testimony in the American Legal System', *Ratio Juris*, 19, 2006, 261-286.

'Using Conversation Policies to Solve Problems of Ambiguity in Argumentation and Artificial Intelligence', *Pragmatics and Cognition*, 14, 2006, 3-36.

'How to Make and Defend a Proposal in Deliberation Dialogue', *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, 14, 2006, 177-239.

'Rules for Reasoning from Knowledge and Lack of Knowledge', *Philosophia*, 34, 2006, 355-376.

'Argument from Appearance: A New Argumentation Scheme', *Logique et Analyse*, 195, 2006, 319-340.

Douglas Walton and Burkhard Schafer, 'Arthur, George and the Mystery of the Missing Motive: Towards a Theory of Evidentiary Reasoning about Motives', *International Commentary on Evidence*, 2006, Vol. 4, Issue 2, 1-47.

'Pragmatic and Idealized Models of Knowledge and Ignorance', *American Philosophical Quarterly*, 42, 2005, 59-69.

'Justification of Argumentation Schemes', *The Australasian Journal of Logic*, 3, 2005, 1-13.

Douglas Walton and Chris Reed, 'Argumentation Schemes and Enthymemes', *Synthese: An International Journal for Epistemology, Methodology and Philosophy of Science*, 145, 2005, 339-370.

'How to Evaluate Argumentation Using Schemes, Diagrams, Critical Questions and Dialogues', *Studies in Communication Sciences, Argumentation in Dialogic Interaction*, ed. Marcelo Dascal, Frans H. van Eemeren, Eddo Rigotti, Sorin Stati and Andrea Rocci, Special Issue, June 2005, 51-74.

'Begging the Question in Arguments Based on Testimony', *Argumentation*, 19, 2005, 85-113.

‘An Automated System for Argument Invention in Law Using Argumentation and Heuristic Search Procedures’, *Ratio Juris*, 18, 2005, 434-463.

Chris Reed and Douglas Walton, ‘Towards a Formal and Implemented Model of Argumentation Schemes in Agent Communication’, *Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems*, 11, 2005, 173-188.

‘Deceptive Arguments Containing Persuasive Language and Persuasive Definitions’, *Argumentation*, 19, 2005, 159-186.

Douglas Walton and Fabrizio Macagno, ‘Common Knowledge in Legal Reasoning about Evidence’, *International Commentary on Evidence*, 3, 2005, 1-42.

Douglas Walton and David M. Godden, ‘Persuasion Dialogue in Online Dispute Resolution’, *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, 13, 2005, 273-295.

‘A New Dialectical Theory of Explanation’, *Philosophical Explorations*, 7, 2004, 71-89.

‘Argumentation Schemes and Historical Origins of the Circumstantial Ad Hominem Argument’, *Argumentation*, 18, 2004, 359-368.

‘Classification of Fallacies of Relevance’, *Informal Logic*, 24, 2004, 71-103.

David M. Godden and Douglas Walton, ‘Denying the Antecedent as a Legitimate Argumentative Strategy: A Dialectical Model’, *Informal Logic*, 24, 2004, 219-243.

‘The Interrogation as a Type of Dialogue’, *Journal of Pragmatics*, 35, 2003, 1771-1802.

‘Is There a Burden of Questioning?’, *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, 11, 2003, 1-43.

‘Argumentation Schemes: The Basis of Conditional Relevance’, *Michigan State Law Review*, Winter, Issue 4, 2003, 1205-1242.

‘Defining Conditional Relevance Using Linked Arguments and Argumentation Schemes’, *Michigan State DCL Law Review*, Winter, Issue 4, 2003, 1305-1314.

Floris Bex, Henry Prakken, Chris Reed and Douglas Walton, ‘Towards a Formal Account of Reasoning about Evidence, Argument Schemes and Generalizations’, *Artificial Intelligence & Law*, 11, 2003, 125-165.

‘The Sunk Costs Fallacy or Argument from Waste’, *Argumentation*, 16, 2002, 473-503.

‘Are Some Modus Ponens Arguments Deductively Invalid?’, *Informal Logic*, 22, 2002, 19-46.

‘Persuasive Definitions and Public Policy Arguments’, *Argumentation and Advocacy: The Journal of the American Forensic Association*, 37, 2001, 117-132.

‘Enthymemes, Common Knowledge and Plausible Inference’, *Philosophy and Rhetoric*, 34, 2001, 93-112.

‘Abductive, Presumptive and Plausible Arguments’, *Informal Logic*, 21, 2001, 141-169.

‘Searching for the Roots of the Circumstantial Ad Hominem’, *Argumentation*, 15, 2001, 207-221.

‘Johnstone’s View of Rhetorical and Dialectical Argument’, *Informal Logic*, 21, 2001, 51-60.

‘The Place of Dialogue Theory in Logic, Computer Science and Communication Studies’, *Synthese: An International Journal for Epistemology, Logic and Philosophy of Science*, 123, 2000, 327-346.

‘Use of Ad Hominem Argument in Political Discourse: The Battalino Case from the Impeachment Trial of President Clinton’, *Argumentation and Advocacy: The Journal of the American Forensic Association*, 36, 2000, 179-195.

‘New Dialectical Rules for Ambiguity’, *Informal Logic*, 20, 2000, 261-274.

‘Alfred Sidgwick: A Little-Known Precursor of Informal Logic and Argumentation’, *Argumentation*, 14, 2000, 175-179.

‘Case Study of the Use of the Circumstantial Ad Hominem in Political Argumentation’, *Philosophy and Rhetoric*, 33, 2000, 101-115.

‘Evaluating Appeals to Popular Opinion’, *Inquiry: Critical Thinking Across the Disciplines*, 20, 2000, 33-45.

‘Problems and Useful Techniques: My Experience in Teaching Courses in Argumentation, Informal Logic and Critical Thinking’, *Informal Logic*, 20, 2000, Teaching Supplement #2, TS35-TS38.

‘Applying Labelled Deductive Systems and Multi-Agent Systems to Source-Based Argumentation’, *Journal of Logic and Computation*, 9, 1999, 63-80.

‘Profiles of Dialogue for Evaluating Arguments from Ignorance’, *Argumentation*, 13, 1999, 53-71.

‘Rethinking the Fallacy of Hasty Generalization’, *Argumentation*, 13, 1999, 161-182.

‘Ethotic Arguments and Fallacies: The Credibility Function in Multi-Agent Dialogue

Systems', *Pragmatics and Cognition*, 7, 1999, 177-203.

'Historical Origins of Argumentum Ad Consequentiam', *Argumentation*, 13, 1999, 251-264.

'The New Dialectic: A Method of Evaluating an Argument Used for Some Purpose in a Given Case', *Protosociology*, 13, 1999, 70-91.

'Can an Ancient Argument of Carneades on Cardinal Virtues and Divine Attributes be Used to Disprove the Existence of God?', *Philo*, 2, 1999, 5-13.

'The Appeal to Ignorance, or Argumentum Ad Ignorantiam', *Argumentation*, 13, 1999, 367-377.

'The Fallacy of Many Questions: On the Notions of Complexity, Loadedness and Unfair Entrapment in Interrogative Theory', *Argumentation*, 13, 1999, 379-383.

'Francis Bacon: Human Bias and the Four Idols', *Argumentation*, 13, 1999, 385-389.

'Peter Ramus', *Argumentation*, 13, 1999, 391-392.

'Dialectical Relevance in Persuasion Dialogue', *Informal Logic*, 19, 1999, 119-143.

'A Pragmatic Model of Legal Disputation', *Notre Dame Law Review*, 73, 1998, 711-735.

'How Can Logic Best Be Applied to Arguments?', *Logic Journal of the IGPL (Interest Group on Pure and Applied Logic)*, 5, 1997, 603-614.

'What is Propaganda, and Exactly What is Wrong with It?', *Public Affairs Quarterly*, 11, 1997, 383-413.

'Judging How Heavily a Question is Loaded: A Pragmatic Method', *Inquiry: Critical Thinking Across the Disciplines*, 17, 1997, 53-71.

John Woods and Douglas Walton, 'Argumentum Ad Verecundiam', translated into Dutch in *Studies Over Argumentatie*, ed. Frans van Eemeren and Rob Grootendorst, Amsterdam, Boom, 1997, 191-210.

'Practical Reasoning and the Structure of Fear Appeal Arguments', *Philosophy and Rhetoric*, 29, 1996, 301-313.

'Plausible Deniability and the Evasion of Burden of Proof', *Argumentation*, 10, 1996, 47-58.

'The Witch Hunt as a Structure of Argumentation', *Argumentation*, 10, 1996, 389-407.

- 'New Methods for Evaluating Arguments', *Inquiry: Critical Thinking Across the Disciplines*, 15, 1996, 44-65.
- 'The Argument of the Beard', *Informal Logic*, 18, 1996, 235-259.
- 'Appeal to Pity: A Case Study of the Argumentum ad Misericordiam', *Argumentation*, 9, 1995, 769-784.
- 'Begging the Question as a Pragmatic Fallacy', *Synthese*, 100, 1994, 95-131.
- 'The Speech Act of Presumption', *Pragmatics and Cognition*, 1, 1993, 125-148.
- Erik C. W. Krabbe and Douglas Walton, 'It's All Very Well for You to Talk! Situationally Disqualifying Ad Hominem Attacks', *Informal Logic*, 15, 1993, 79-91.
- 'The Normative Structure of Case Study Argumentation', *Metaphilosophy*, 24, 1993, 207-226.
- 'Commitment, Types of Dialogue, and Fallacies', *Informal Logic*, 14, 1993, 93-103.
- 'Alethic, Epistemic, and Dialectical Models of Argument', *Philosophy and Rhetoric*, 26, 1993, 302-310.
- 'Introduction to Philosophy and the Argumentum ad Hominem', *Inquiry: Critical Thinking Across the Disciplines*, 12, 1993, 24.
- 'Which of the Fallacies are Fallacies of Relevance?', *Argumentation*, 6, 1992, 237-250.
- 'Rules for Plausible Reasoning', *Informal Logic*, 14, 1992, 33-51.
- 'Nonfallacious Arguments from Ignorance', *American Philosophical Quarterly*, 29, 1992, 381-387.
- 'Correctness of Argument as a Function of Respondent's Commitment', *The Canadian Journal of Rhetorical Studies*, 2, 1992, 52-72.
- 'Critical Faults and Fallacies of Questioning', *Journal of Pragmatics*, 15, 1991, 337-366.
- 'Hamblin on the Standard Treatment of Fallacies', *Philosophy and Rhetoric*, 24, 1991, 353-361.
- Erik C. W. Krabbe and Douglas Walton, 'Gemakkelijk Praten! Situationeel Diskwalificerende Aanvallen Ad Hominem', *Tijdschrift voor Taalbeheersing*, 13, 1991, 108-119.
- 'Bias, Critical Doubt, and Fallacies', *Argumentation and Advocacy*, 28, 1991, 1-22.

‘After Analytic Philosophy, What's Next?’, *Journal of Speculative Philosophy*, 6, 1992, 123-142.

‘What is Reasoning? What is an Argument?’, *The Journal of Philosophy*, 87, 1990, 399-419.

‘Ignoring Qualifications (Secundum Quid) as a Subfallacy of Hasty Generalization’, *Logique et Analyse*, 129-130, 1990, 113-154.

‘Courage, Relativism and Practical Reasoning’, *Philosophia*, 20, 1990, 227-240.

‘Reasoned Use of Expertise in Argumentation’, *Argumentation*, 3, 1989, 59-73.

‘Dialogue Theory for Critical Thinking’, *Argumentation*, 3, 1989, 169-184.

‘Problems in the Use of Expert Opinion in Argumentation’, *Communication & Cognition*, 22, 1989, 383-389.

‘Burden of Proof’, *Argumentation*, 2, 1988, 233-254.

‘Reply to Thomas on Models of Courage’, *Dialogue*, 27, 1988, 697-699.

‘The Ad Hominem Argument as an Informal Fallacy’, *Argumentation*, 1, 1987, 317-331.

‘The Virtue of Courage’, *The World & I*, 12 (December), 1987, 595-609.

Douglas Walton and Neil Donen, ‘Ethical Decision-Making and the Critical Care Team’, *Critical Care Clinics*, Vol. 2, January, 1986, 101-109.

Douglas Walton and with Deborah C. Hobbs, ‘Non-treatment of Spina Bifida Babies’, *Philosophy Research Archives*, 11, 1986, 463-480.

‘Are Circular Arguments Necessarily Vicious?’, *American Philosophical Quarterly*, 22, 1985, 263-274.

‘New Directions in the Logic of Dialogue’, *Synthese: An International Journal for Epistemology, Methodology and Philosophy of Science*, 63, 1985, 259-274.

‘Pragmatic Inferences about Actions’, *Synthese: An International Journal for Epistemology, Methodology and Philosophy of Science*, 65, 1985, 211-233.

Douglas Walton and Lynn M. Batten, ‘Games, Graphs and Circular Arguments’, *Logique et Analyse*, 106, 1984, 133-164.

- 'Cans, Advantages and Possible Worlds', *Philosophia*, 14, 1984, 83-97.
- 'Death and Dying in Medicine: What Questions are Still Worth Asking?', *Theoretical Medicine*, 5, 1984, 121-139.
- 'Enthymemes', *Logique et Analyse*, 103-104, September 1983, 395-410.
- 'Neocortical versus Whole-Brain Conceptions of Personal Death', *Omega*, 12, 1982, 339-344.
- 'Philosophy of Medicine in Canada', *Metamedicine*, 3, 1982, 263-277.
- 'Comments on a Medical Ethics for the Future', *Metamedicine*, 3, 1982, 121-124.
- John Woods and Douglas Walton, 'Question-Begging and Cumulativity in Dialectical Games', with John Woods, *Noûs*, 4, 1982, 585-605.
- John Woods and Douglas Walton, 'The Petitio: Aristotle's Five Ways', *Canadian Journal of Philosophy*, 12, 1982, 77-100.
- 'Splitting the Difference: Killing and Letting Die', *Dialogue*, 20, 1981, 68-78.
- 'Responsibility for Discontinuation of Treatment', with W. H. Fleming, *Essence*, 4, 1980, 57-61.
- 'Epistemology of Brain Death Determination', *Metamedicine*, 2, 1981, 21-36.
- 'What is Logic About?', *Informal Logic Newsletter*, iv, 1, 1981, 2-4.
- John Woods and Douglas Walton, 'More on Fallaciousness and Invalidity', *Philosophy and Rhetoric*, 14, 1981, 168-172.
- 'The Fallacy of Many Questions', *Logique et Analyse*, 95-96, 1981, 291-313.
- 'The Ethical Force of Definitions', *Journal of Medical Ethics*, 6, 1980, 16-18.
- 'Cans and Counterfactuals', *Canadian Journal of Philosophy*, 10, 1980, 489-496.
- 'Critical Study of Ingmar Pörn', *Action Theory and Social Science*, *Synthese*, 43, 1980, 421-431.
- 'Omissions and Other Negative Actions', *Metamedicine*, 1, 1980, 305-324.
- 'Ignoratio Elenchi: The Red Herring Fallacy', *Informal Logic Newsletter*, ii, 3, 1980, 3-7.
- 'Omitting, Refraining, and Letting Happen', *American Philosophical Quarterly*, 17,

1980, 321-328.

'On the Logical Form of Some Commonplace Action Expressions', Grazer Philosophische Studien, 10, 1980, 141-148.

'Why is the Ad Populum a Fallacy?', Philosophy and Rhetoric, 13, 1980, 264-278.

'On Allowing Something to Happen', Man & Medicine, 5, 1980, 167-176.

'Reply to Commentaries', Man & Medicine, 5, 1980, 185-188.

'Critical Study on Some Recent Action Theory,' Philosophia, 8, 1979, 719-740.

'Philosophical Basis of Relatedness Logic,' Philosophical Studies, 36, 1979, 115-136.

'Relatedness in Intensional Action Chains,' Philosophical Studies, 36, 1979, 175-223.

'Preface to Relatedness Logic Issue,' with Richard Epstein, Philosophical Studies, 36, 1979, 113-114.

'What Type of Argument is an Ad Verecundiam?' with John Woods, Informal Logic Newsletter, 2(1), 1979, 5-6.

'A Brief Guide to Studying and Teaching on the Fallacies,' with John Woods, Australian Logic Teacher's Journal, 3, 1979, 1-3.

John Woods and Douglas Walton, 'Equivocation and Practical Logic', Ratio, 21, 1979, 31-43. A German translation 'Äquivokation und die Praktische Logik', appears in the same issue.

John Woods and Douglas Walton, 'Circular Demonstration and von Wright-Geach Entailment', with John Woods, Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic, 20, 1979, 768-772.

'Laws of Thought and Epistemic Proofs', with John Woods, Idealistic Studies, 9, 1979, 55-65.

'The Active-Passive Distinction in Ethical Decision-Making', Philosophy Research Archives, 5, no. 1350, 1979.

John Woods and Douglas Walton, 'Arresting Circles in Formal Dialogues', Journal of Philosophical Logic, 7, 1978, 73-90.

John Woods and Douglas Walton, 'Puzzle for Analysis: Find the Fallacy', Informal Logic Newsletter, 1, 1978, 5-6.

John Woods and Douglas Walton, 'The Fallacy of Ad Ignorantiam', *Dialectica*, 32, 1978, 87-99.

'The Circle in the Ontological Argument,' *International Journal for Philosophy of Religion*, 9, 1978, 193-218.

John Woods and Douglas Walton, 'Composition and Division', *Studia Logica*, 36, 1977, 381-406.

John Woods and Douglas Walton, 'Post Hoc, Ergo Propter Hoc', *Review of Metaphysics*, 30, 1977, 569-593.

John Woods and Douglas Walton, 'Ad Hominem', *The Philosophical Forum*, 8, 1977, 1-20.

'Mill and DeMorgan on Whether the Syllogism is a Petitio,' *International Logic Review*, 8, 1977, 57-68.

'Ad Hominem Contra Gerber,' with John Woods, *The Personalist*, 58, 1977, 141-144.

John Woods and Douglas Walton, 'Petitio and Relevant Many-Premised Arguments', *Logique et Analyse*, with, 20, 1977, 97-110.

'Obstacles and Opportunities,' *Philosophical Papers*, 6, 1977, 11-20.

'Performative and Existential Self-Verifyingness,' *Dialogue*, 16, 1977, 128-138.

'Towards a Theory of Argument,' with John Woods, *Metaphilosophy*, 8, 1977, 299-315.

'Purtill on Power and Evil,' *International Journal for Philosophy of Religion*, 8, 1977, 263-267.

'Logical Form and Agency', *Philosophical Studies (U.S.)*, 29, 1976, 75-89.

'A Note on Motives, Consequences and Value', *The Journal of Value Inquiry*, X, 1976, 149-150.

'St. Anselm and the Logical Syntax of Agency', *Franciscan Studies*, 36, 1976, 298-312.

'Intensional Action Theory,' *Philosophy Research Archives*, 2, No. 1149, 1976.

'The Logic of Ability,' *Philosophy Research Archives*, 2, No. 1068, 1976 (35 pp.).

'Some Considerations on the Nihil Obstat Analysis of the Modal Auxiliary Verb Can,' *Studia Anglica Posnaniensia*, 8, 1976, 55-63.

'On Logic and Methodology in the Study of Death,' *Ethics in Science and Medicine*, 3, 1976, 135-147.

John Woods and Douglas Walton, 'Ad Baculum', *Grazer Philosophische Studien*, 2, 1976, 133-140.

'Active and Passive Euthanasia,' *Ethics*, 86, 1976, 269-274.

'On the Rationality of Fear of Death,' *Omega: The Journal of Death and Dying*, 7, 1976, 1-10.

John Woods and Douglas Walton, 'Fallaciousness Without Invalidity?', *Philosophy and Rhetoric*, 9, 1976, 52-54.

'Some Theorems of Fitch on Omnipotence,' *Sophia*, XV, 1976, 20-27.

'Principles of Interpersonal Agency in the Free Will Defense,' *Bijdragen; Tijdschrift Voor Filosofie en Theologie*, 37, 1976, 36-46.

'The Formalities of Evil,' *Critica*, 8, 1976, 3-9.

John Woods and Douglas Walton, 'Petitio Principii', *Synthese*, 31, 1975, 107-127.

'Modal Logic and Agency', *Logique et Analyse*, 69-70, 1975, 103-111. Abstract in *Zentralblatt Für Mathematik*, Band 323, #02031, Nov. 30, 1976, p. 35.

John Woods and Douglas Walton, 'Is the Syllogism a Petitio Principii?', *Mill News Letter*, X, 1975, 13-15.

'Language, God and Evil', *International Journal for Philosophy of Religion*, 6, 1975, 154-162.

John Woods and Douglas Walton, 'Moral Expertise', *Journal of Moral Education*, 5, 1975, 13-18.

'The Omnipotence Paradox', *Canadian Journal of Philosophy*, IV, 1975, 705-715.

'Ifs and Cans: Pros and Cons', *The Personalist*, LVI, 1975, 242-249.

'Philosophical Perspectives on the Insanity Defense', *The Human Context*, 7, 1975, 546-560.

'Can, Determinism, and Modal Logic', *The Modern Schoolman*, LII, 1975, 381-390.

'Control', *Behaviorism*, 2, 1974, 162-171.

John Woods and Douglas Walton, 'Informal Logic and Critical Thinking', *Education*, 95, 1974, 84-86.

John Woods and Douglas Walton, 'Argumentum Ad Verecundiam', *Philosophy and Rhetoric*, 7, 1974, 135-153.

'Power and Causal Possibility', *Canadian Journal of Philosophy*, III, 1973, 281-284.

Douglas Walton and Neil Shamburg, 'The Principles of Freedom and Dignity in Social Technology', *Journal of Social Philosophy*, IV, 1973, 8-11.

'Issues of Explanation in Linguistic Theory', *Manitoba Modern Languages Association Bulletin*, VIII, 1973, 19-25.

'The Contemporary Relevance of Hume's Remarks on Liberty and Necessity', *Journal of Thought*, 8, 1973, 183-188.

John Woods and Douglas Walton, 'On Fallacies', *The Journal of Critical Analysis*, IV, 1972, 103-111.

Refereed Papers Published in Conference Proceedings

'Knowledge and Action in Rational Deliberation', *Proceedings of the International Symposium on Analytical Philosophy: China and the World*, ed. M. Feng et al., vol. 2. Shanghai: East China Normal University, 2011, 942-960.

Douglas Walton and Fabrizio Macagno, 'Burdens of Persuasion and Proof in Everyday Argumentation', *Proceedings of the 7th Conference of the International Society for the Study of Argumentation*, ed. Frans H. van Eemeren et al., Amsterdam, SicSat, 2011, 1940-1950.

Modeling Critical Questions as Additional Premises, *Argument Cultures: Proceedings of the 8th International Conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA)*, ed. F. Zenker, May 18-21, 2011, Windsor, Ontario, (CD ROM), 1-13.

Reply to 'Conflict and Consultation', *Argument Cultures: Proceedings of the 8th International Conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA)*, ed. F. Zenker, May 18-21, 2011, Windsor, Ontario, (CD ROM), 1-4.

Thomas F. Gordon and Douglas Walton, 'A Formal Model of Legal Proof Burdens and Standards', *Proceedings of the 7th Conference of the International Society for the Study of Argumentation*, ed. Frans H. van Eemeren et al., Amsterdam, SicSat, 2011, 644-655.

Floris Bex and Douglas Walton, 'Burdens and Standards of Proof for Inference to the Best Explanation', *Legal Knowledge and Information Systems: Proceedings of JURIX*

2010: The Twenty-Third Annual Conference, ed. Radboud G. F. Winkels, Amsterdam, IOS Press, 2010, 37-46.

‘Types of Dialogue and Burden of Proof’, *Computational Models of Argument: Proceedings of COMMA 2010*, ed. Pietro Baroni, Frederic Cerutti, Massimiliano Giacomin and Guillermo R. Simari, Amsterdam, IOS Press, 2010, 13-24.

Matthias Grabmair, Thomas F. Gordon and Douglas Walton, ‘Probabilistic Semantics for the Carneades Argument Model Using Bayesian Belief Networks’, *Computational Models of Argument: Proceedings of COMMA 2010*, ed. Pietro Baroni, Frederic Cerutti, Massimiliano Giacomin and Guillermo R. Simari, Amsterdam, IOS Press, 2010, 255-266.

‘An Overview of the Use of Argumentation Schemes in Case Modeling’, *Proceedings of the Workshop on Modeling Legal Cases at the 12th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law*, ed. Katie Atkinson, Barcelona, Huygens Editorial, 2009, 77-89.

‘Anticipating Objections in Argumentation’, *Rhetoric and Argumentation in the Beginning of the XXIst Century*, ed. Henrique Jales Ribeiro, Coimbra, Portugal, University of Coimbra Press, 2009, 87-109.

‘Argument Visualization Tools for Corroborative Evidence’, *Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Evidence Law and Forensic Science*, Institute of Evidence Law and Forensic Science, China University of Political Science and Law, Beijing, 2009, 32-49.

‘Enthymemes and Argumentation Schemes in Health Product Ads’, *Proceedings of the Workshop on Computational Models of Natural Argument at the Twenty-First International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Pasadena, July 13, 2009, 49-56.

‘Objections, Rebuttals and Refutations’, *Argument Cultures: Proceedings of the 8th OSSA Conference*, CD-ROM, ed. Juho Ritola, Windsor, Ontario, 2009, 1-10.

Commentary on paper by Fred J. Kauffeld, “Presuming and Presumption in Everyday Argumentation”, *Argument Cultures: Proceedings of the 8th OSSA Conference*, CD-ROM, ed. Juho Ritola, Windsor, Ontario, 2009, 1-5.

‘Explanations and Arguments Based on Practical Reasoning’, *Proceedings of the Workshop on Explanation-Aware Computing at the Twenty-First International Joint Conference on Artificial Intelligence*, Pasadena, July 11-12, 2009, 72-83.

‘Burden of Proof in Deliberation Dialogs’, Invited Paper in *Proceedings of the Sixth International Workshop on Argumentation in Multi-Agent Systems (ArgMAS 2009)*, held in conjunction with the Eighth International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems (AAMAS 2009), ed. Peter McBurney, Iyad Rahwan, Simon Parsons and Nicholas Maudet, Richland, South Carolina, International Foundation for Autonomous Agents and Multi-Agent Systems, 2009, 1-18.

Thomas F. Gordon and Douglas Walton, 'Legal Reasoning with Argumentation Schemes', 12th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law (ICAIL 2009), New York, NY, USA, 2009, ed. Carole D. Hafner, ACM Press, 137-146.

Douglas Walton and Chris Reed, 'Evaluating Corroborative Evidence', Proceedings of the Conference of the International Society for the Study of Argumentation (ISSA), Amsterdam, SicSat, 2007, 881-885.

'Disagreement Space, Burden of Proof and Fallacies', Engaging Argument: Selected Papers from the 2005 NCA/AFA Summer Conference on Argumentation, ed. Patricia Riley, Washington DC, National Communication Association, 2007, 426-431.

'Dialogical Models of Explanation', Explanation-Aware Computing: Papers from the 2007 AAAI Workshop, Association for the Advancement of Artificial Intelligence, Technical Report WS-07-06, Menlo Park California, AAAI Press, 2007, 1-9.

Thomas F. Gordon and Douglas Walton, 'The Carneades Argumentation Framework: Using Presumptions and Exceptions to Model Critical Questions', Proceedings of 6th CMNA (Computational Models of Natural Argument) Workshop, ECAI (European Conference on Artificial Intelligence), Riva del Garda, Italy, August 28 – September 1, Trento, Italy, University of Trento, 2006, 5-13.

Fabrizio Macagno and Douglas Walton, 'Argumentative Reasoning Patterns', Proceedings of 6th CMNA (Computational Models of Natural Argument) Workshop, ECAI (European Conference on Artificial Intelligence), Riva del Garda, Italy, August 28 – September 1, Trento, Italy, University of Trento, 2006, 48-51.

Douglas Walton and David M. Godden, 'Alternatives to Suspicion and Trust as Conditions for Challenge in Argumentative Dialogue', Engaging Argument: Selected Papers from the 2005 NCA/AFA Summer Conference on Argumentation, ed. Patricia Riley, Washington DC, National Communication Association, 2006, 438-444.

Thomas F. Gordon and Douglas Walton, 'The Carneades Argumentation Framework', IAAIL Workshop Series, International Workshop on Argumentation in Artificial Intelligence and Law, ed. Paul E. Dunne and Trevor Bench-Capon, Nijmegen, Wolf Legal Publishers, 2005, 195-207.

Thomas F. Gordon and Douglas Walton, 'Pierson v. Post Revisited', Computational Models of Argument: Proceedings of COMMA 2006, ed. P. E. Dunne and T. J. M. Bench-Capon, Amsterdam, IOS Press, 2006, 208-219.

Douglas Walton and David M. Godden, 'The Nature and Status of Critical Questions in Argumentation Schemes', The Uses of Argument: Proceedings of a Conference at McMaster University, ed. David Hitchcock and Daniel Farr, Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation, 2005, 476-484.

Henry Prakken, Chris Reed and Douglas Walton, 'Dialogues about the Burden of Proof', Proceedings of the Tenth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law, Held June 6-11, 2005 in Bologna, Italy, New York, The Association for Computing Machinery (ACM), 2005, 115-124.

'Evaluating Practical Reasoning', Proceedings of the Conference on Norms, Knowledge and Reasoning in Technology Held at Huis Elzendaal, Boxmeer, the Netherlands, June 3-4, 2005, Eindhoven, Technical University of Eindhoven, 2005.

Douglas Walton and Arno R. Lodder, 'What Role Can Rational Argument Play in ADR and Online Dispute Resolution?', with, IAAIL Workshop Series, Second International ODR Workshop, ed. John Zelzniknow and Arno R. Lodder, Nijmegen, Wolf Legal Publishers, 2005, 69-76.

Douglas Walton and Thomas F. Gordon, 'Critical Questions in Computational Models of Legal Argument', IAAIL Workshop Series, International Workshop on Argumentation in Artificial Intelligence and Law, ed. Paul E. Dunne and Trevor Bench-Capon, Nijmegen, Wolf Legal Publishers, 2005, 103-111.

Douglas Walton and Chris Reed, 'Applications of Argumentation Schemes', in Argumentation and Its Applications: Proceedings of the 4th OSSA Conference, ed. H. V. Hansen, C. W. Tindale, J. A. Blair and R. H. Johnson, Windsor, Canada, 2003, CD-ROM.

Chris Reed and Douglas Walton, 'Argumentation Schemes in Argument-as-Process and Argument-as-Product', CD-ROM, Informal Logic @ 25: Proceedings of the Windsor Conference, ed. J. Anthony Blair, Daniel Farr, Hans V. Hansen, Ralph H. Johnson and Christopher W. Tindale, Windsor, Ontario, OSSA 2003.

'Informal Logic 25 Years Later', CD-ROM, Informal Logic @ 25: Proceedings of the Windsor Conference, ed. J. Anthony Blair, Daniel Farr, Hans V. Hansen, Ralph H. Johnson and Christopher W. Tindale, Windsor, Ontario, OSSA 2003.

Commentary on 'Arguments from Unacceptable Consequences and a Reasonable Application of Law', by Eveline Feteris, CD-ROM, Informal Logic @ 25: Proceedings of the Windsor Conference, ed. J. Anthony Blair, Daniel Farr, Hans V. Hansen, Ralph H. Johnson and Christopher W. Tindale, Windsor, Ontario, OSSA 2003.

Henry Prakken, Chris Reed and Douglas Walton, 'Argumentation Schemes and Generalisations in Reasoning about Evidence', , Proceedings of the Conference: The 9th International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law, University of Edinburgh, New York, Association for Computing Machinery (ACM), 2003, 32-41.

Chris Reed and Douglas Walton, 'Diagramming Argumentation Schemes and Critical Questions', Proceedings of the Fifth Conference of the International Society for the Study

of Argumentation, ed. Frans H. van Eemeren, J. Anthony Blair, Charles A. Willard and Francisca Snoek Henkemans, Amsterdam, Sic Sat, 2003, 881-885.

Author's preface to the Russian translation of my book *Ad Hominem Arguments* (University of Alabama Press, 1998), published by the Institute of Sociology of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 13-14, Moscow, 2002.

Douglas Walton and Chris Reed, 'Argumentation Schemes and Defeasible Inferences', Workshop on Computational Models of Natural Argument, ed. Guisepppe Carenini, Floriana Grasso and Chris Reed, ECAI 2002, 15th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence, 2002, 1-5.

'The Identity Crisis of Informal Logic', Proceedings of the Fourth International Conference of the International Society for the Study of Argumentation, ed. Frans van Eemeren et al., SICSAT, Amsterdam, 1999, 853-857.

'The Straw Man Fallacy', Analysis and Evaluation, vol. ii of Proceedings of the Third ISSA Conference on Argumentation, ed. Frans van Eemeren et al., Amsterdam, SICSAT, 1995, 421- 434.

'Argumentation and Fallacies: The Problems in Teaching', Philosophie et Culture (Actes/Proceedings: XVIIe Congrès Mondiale de Philosophie, Vol. 5), Montreal, Editions Montmorency, 1988, 373-381.

'Petitio Principii and Argument Analysis', in *Informal Logic: The First International Symposium*, ed. R. H. Johnson and J. A. Blair, Pt. Reyes, California, Edgepress, 1980, 41-54.

'St. Anselm on the Verb 'To Do' (facere)', Proceedings of the Linguistic Circle of Manitoba and North Dakota, XIV, 1974, 9-12.

'Mathematical Logic and Empirical Linguistics', Proceedings of the Linguistic Circle of Manitoba and North Dakota, XII, 1972, 13-15.

'The Modal Auxiliary Verb Can: Some Semantic Problems', Proceedings of the Linguistic Circle of Manitoba and North Dakota, XI, 1971, 17-19.

Book Reviews

Review of Hendrik Kaptein, Henry Prakken and Bart Verheij eds, *Legal Evidence and Proof: Statistics, Stories, Logic*, Aldershot, Ashgate, 2009, *Artificial Intelligence and Law*, 17, 2009, 371-377.

Review of Mark Vorobej, *A Theory of Argument*, Cambridge, Cambridge University Press, 2006, *Bulletin of Symbolic Logic*, 13, 2, 2007, 245-246.

Review of Per Bauhn, *The Value of Courage*, *Ethics*, 115, 2005, 644-645.

Review of Rod Girle, *Possible Worlds*, Chesham, Acumen, *History and Philosophy of Logic*, 25, 2004, 162-164.

Review of Joseph Melia, *Modality*, Chesham, Acumen, *History and Philosophy of Logic*, 25, 2004, 331-332.

Review of Pierre Bourdieu, *Practical Reason: On the Theory of Action*, Palo Alto, Stanford University Press, *Ethics*, 110, 2000, 453.

Review of Stuart J. Youngner, Robert M. Arnold and Renie Schapiro, eds, *The Definition of Death: Contemporary Perspectives*, Johns Hopkins University Press, *Ethics*, 111, 2000, 196-198.

Review of Richard L. Epstein, *The Semantic Foundations of Logic*, New York, Oxford University Press, *Informal Logic*, 19, 1999, 217-221.

Review of Timothy Smiley (ed.), *Philosophical Logic*, Oxford, Oxford University Press, 1998, *History and Philosophy of Logic*, 20, 1999, 137-138.

Review of Stephen Read, *Thinking About Logic*, Oxford University Press, 1995, *History and Philosophy of Logic*, 16, 1995.

Review of Desmond Paul Henry, *Medieval Mereology*, *Argumentation*, 4, 1993, pp. 488-490.

Review of Theo van Willigenburg, *Inside the Ethical Expert: Problem Solving in Applied Ethics*, Kampen, The Netherlands, 1991, *Ethics*, 103, 1993, p. 426.

Review of Michel Meyer (ed.), *Questions and Questioning*, Berlin, de Gruyter, 1988, *Argumentation*, 5, 1991, 454-456.

Review of E. M. Barth and E. C. W. Krabbe, *From Axiom to Dialogue*, Berlin, de Gruyter, 1982, *Journal of Pragmatics*, 13, 1989, 634-637.

Review of Ronald de Sousa, *The Rationality of Emotion*, MIT Press, 1987, *Philosophy and Rhetoric*, 22, 1989, 302-303.

Review of M. J. Cresswell, *Adverbial Modification: Interval Semantics and its Rivals*, *Canadian Journal of Linguistics*, 32, 1987, 107-110.

Critical Review of Michael Slote, *Goods and Virtues*, Oxford University Press, 1983, *Noûs*, 20, 1986, 263-268.

Review of J. Talja, *Studies in Possibility, Ability and Not-Doing*, 1984, *Theoria*, 52, 1986, 117-123.

Review of Earl E. Shelp (ed.), *Virtue and Medicine: Explorations in the Character of Medicine*, Dordrecht, Reidel, 1985, *Dialogue*, 25, 1986, 808-810.

Critical Review of David Heyd, *Supererogation*, Cambridge University Press, 1982, *Noûs*, 19, 1985, 284-288.

Review of E. Bencivenga, 'Dropping a Few Worlds', *Logique et Analyse*, 26, 1983, 241-246, *Mathematical Reviews*, May 1985, 85e: 03006, p. 1780.

Review of H. N. Castañeda, 'The Logical Structure of Legal Systems: A New Perspective', in *Deontic Logic, Computational Linguistics and Legal Information Systems*, Vol. II, *Mathematical Reviews*, August 1985, MR 85h: 03006, p. 3262.

Review of D. J. Shoesmith and T. J. Smiley, *Multiple-Conclusion Logic*, Cambridge University Press, 1978, *Dialogue*, 24, 1985, 179-181.

Review of Jay Rosenberg, *Thinking Clearly About Death*, Prentice-Hall, 1983, *Canadian Philosophical Reviews*, 5, 1985, 176-178.

Review of Raimo Tuomela, 'Action Generation', in *Intensional Logic: Theory and Applications*, Moscow, 1979, *Mathematical Reviews*, 1984, MR 84i: 030345.

Review of N.C.A. da Costa and E. H. Alves, 'On a Paraconsistent Predicate Calculus', *São Paulo Symposium, Zentralblatt für Mathematik*, Band 522, July, 1984, 522: 003010.

Review of Ian I. Mitroff and Richard O. Mason, *Creating a Dialectical Social Science: Concepts, Methods, and Models*, Dordrecht, Reidel, 1981, *Journal of Business Ethics*, 3, 1984, 19-34.

Review of G. B. Keene, 'Self-Referent Inferences and the Liar Paradox', *Mind*, 92, 1983, 430-433, *Mathematical Reviews*, 1984, MR 84k: 03015.

Review of Leo Apostel, 'The Future of Piagetian Logic', *Revue Internat. de Philosophie*, *Mathematical Reviews*, July, 1984, 84g: 03009.

Review of Krister Segerberg, 'Action-Games', *Acta Philosophica Fennica, Zentralblatt für Mathematik*, Band 484, January, 1983, 484: 03007; pp. 12-13.

Review of Michiro Kondo, 'Completeness Theorem for some Logic in Terms of Interval Semantics', *Commentarii Mathematici Universitatis Sancti Pauli*, 32, 1983, 195-202, *Zentralblatt für Mathematik*, Band 524, August, 1984, 524: 03012, pp. 15-16.

Review of *Recollections of Death: A Medical Investigation* by M. B. Sabom (Harper & Row), *Queen's Quarterly*, 90, 1983, 248-249.

Review of Romane Clarke, 'When is a Fallacy Valid?', *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, *Mathematical Reviews*, 1983, MR 83d: 03004.

Review of Christopher Peacocke, 'Demonstrative Thought and Psychological Explanation', *Synthese*, *Mathematical Reviews*, 1983, MR 83e: 03014.

Review of 'Critical Thinking and Education', by John McPeck, *Canadian Philosophical Reviews*, 3, 1983, 242-244.

Review of Crispin Wright, 'Strict Finitism', *Synthese*, 51, 1982, 203-282, *Zentralblatt für Mathematik*, Band 497, July, 1983, 497: 03002, pp. 17-18.

Review of C. G. Taylor, 'The Analytic and Synthetic in Russell's Philosophy of Mathematics', *Philosophical Studies*, *Mathematical Reviews*, Feb. 1982, MR 82b: 00012.

Review of *The Ethics of Deliberate Death* by E. H. Kluge (Kennikat, 1981), *Teaching Philosophy*, 5, 1982, 359-360.

Review of *Brain Death: Interrelated Medical and Social Issues*, ed. Julius Korein, *Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences*, vol. 315, 1978, 454 pp., *Dialogue*, 21, 1982, 175-178.

Review of P. K. Skotch and R. E. Jennings, 'Epistemic Logic, Skepticism, and Non-normal Modal Logic', *Philosophical Studies* (1981), *Mathematical Reviews*, October 1982, MR 82j: 03022.

Review of *Violence and Responsibility* by John Harris, London, Boston and Henley, 1980, *Values and Ethics in Health Care*, 7, 1982, 78-80.

Review of F. Pelletier, 'Sameness and Referential Opacity in Aristotle', *Noûs*, *Mathematical Reviews*, January 1982, MR 82a: 01004.

Review of B. H. Slater, 'A Fragment of New Propositional Logic', *International Logic Review*, *Mathematical Reviews*, 81c, 1981, MR 81c: 03011.

Review of Martin Davies and Lloyd Humberstone, 'Two Notions of Necessity', *Philosophical Studies*, *Mathematical Reviews*, Nov. 1981, MR 81k: 03018.

Review of George F. Schumm, 'Modalities in the Extensions of B', *Reports on Mathematical Logic*, *Mathematical Reviews*, 81b, 03023, 1981.

Review of Nicholas Rescher, *Dialectics*, *Dialogue*, 19, 1980, 328-334.

Review of V. Tselishchev, 'Hintikka's Possible Worlds and Rigid Designators', in *Essays on Mathematical and Philosophical Logic*, Reidel, 1979, *Mathematical Reviews*, 1980, MR 03007.

Review of Tom Beauchamp and Seymour Perlin (eds.), *Ethical Issues in Death and Dying*, Prentice-Hall, 1978, *Essence*, 4, 1980, 62-64.

Review of R. M. Martin, 'On Common Names and Mathematical Scotism', *Ratio*, *Mathematical Reviews*, 57, 1979, MR 9464.

Review of M. Milberger, 'The Minimal Modal Logic: A Cautionary Tale about Primitives and Definitions', *Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic*, *Mathematical Reviews*, 58, 1979, MR 148.

Review of Juhani Pietarinen, *Lawlikeness, Analogy, and Inductive Logic*, Amsterdam, North-Holland, 1972, *Mathematical Reviews*, 57, 1979, MR 15944.

Review of John M. Vickers, 'Rules for Reasonable Belief Change', in *Selected Papers: Fourth International Conference for Logic, Methodology and Philosophy of Science*, Dordrecht, Reidel, 1973, 129-142, *Mathematical Reviews*, 57, 1979, MR 5666.

Review of John Williamson, 'Facts and Truth', *Philosophical Quarterly*, 26, 1976, 203-216, *Mathematical Reviews*, 55, 1978, MR 2483.

Review of Douglas Odegard, 'Knowledge and Reflexivity', *Dialogue*, 15, 1976, 226-240, *Mathematical Reviews*, 55, 1978, MR 2476.

Review of Antony Flew, *Thinking Straight*, *Dialogue*, 17, 1978, 582-584.

Review of Paul Thom, 'Ecthesis', *Logique et Analyse*, *Mathematical Reviews*, 56, 1978, MR 8312.

Review of Arnold A. Johanson, 'A Proof of Hume's Separation Thesis Based on a Formal System for Descriptive and Normative Statements', *Theory and Decision*, 3, 1973, 339-350, *Mathematical Reviews*, 55, 1978, MR 12451.

Review of Rolf A. Eberle, 'A Logic of Believing, Knowing, and Inferring', *Synthese*, 26, 1974, 356-382, *Mathematical Reviews*, 55, 1978, MR 12469.

Review of Hartry Field, 'Logic, Meaning, and Conceptual Role', *Journal of Philosophy*, 74, 1977, 379-409, *Mathematical Reviews*, 56, 1978, MR 15363.

Review of Nicholas Rescher, *Plausible Reasoning*, *Dialogue*, 16, 1977, 774-779.

Review of Vincent E. Barry, *Practical Logic*, S. Morris Engel, *With Good Reason*, and Ronald Munson, *The Way of Words: An Informal Logic*, appears in *Teaching Philosophy*, 2, 1977, 81-84.

Review of Jerzy Slupecki, 'L-Decidability and Decidability', *Polish Acad. Sci. Inst.*

Philos. Sociology Bull. Sect. Logic 1, 1972, No. 3, 38-43, Mathematical Reviews, 51, 1976, MR 116.

Review of K. E. Pledger, 'Some Extensions of S3', Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic, 16, 1975, 271-272, Mathematical Reviews, 51, 1976, MR 66.

Review of Benson Mates, 'Austin, Strawson, Tarski and Truth', Proceedings of the Tarski Symposium, Providence, R.I., Amer. Math. Soc., 1974, 385-396, Mathematical Reviews, Vol. 50, No. 6, Dec. 1975, MR 12638.

Review of Kathleen Johnson Wu, 'A New Approach to Formalization of a Logic of Knowledge and Belief', Logique et Analyse, 16, 1973, 513-52, Mathematical Reviews, Vol. 50, No. 6, Dec. 1975, MR 12670.

Review of Henry C. Byerly, A Primer of Logic, New York, Harper & Row, 1973, Teaching Philosophy, July 1975, 62-64.

Review of Ivo Thomas, 'On a Passage of Aristotle', Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic, 15, 1974, 347-348, Mathematical Reviews, Vol. 50, No. 2, August 1975, MR 1828.

Review of Lennart Åqvist, 'Knowing Who', in Logic and Value, Filosof. Foreningens och Filosof. Inst., Uppsala, 1970, Mathematical Reviews, Vol. 50, No. 3, Sept. 1975, MR 4245.

Review of Nino Cocchiarella, 'Fregean Semantics for a Realist Ontology', Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic, 15, 1974. Mathematical Reviews, Vol. 50, No. 4, October 1975, MR 6784.

Review of Howard Pospesel, 'Scepticism and Modal Logic', Logique et Analyse, 18, 1974, 653-664. Mathematical Reviews, Vol. 48, No. 6, Dec. 1974, MR 10766.

Review of George Engelbretsen, 'A Note on Contrariety', Notre Dame Journal of Formal Logic, 15, 1974, 613-14. Mathematical Reviews, 50, 1975, MR 6796.

WORK ACCEPTED for PUBLICATION:

Douglas Walton and Giovanni Sartor, Teleological Justification of Argumentation Schemes, accepted for publication in Argumentation, Oct. 25, 2011.

Reasoning about Knowledge Using Defeasible Logic, Argument and Computation, accepted for publication October 24, 2011.

Douglas Walton and Thomas F. Gordon, "Building an Automated System of Argument Invention, accepted for publication, Pragmatics & Cognition, October 23, 2011.

Iyad Rahwan, Bitah Banihashemi, Chris Reed, Douglas Walton and Sharif Abdallah, 'Representing and Classifying Arguments on the Semantic Web', *The Knowledge Engineering Review*, to appear.

PRESENTATIONS

Numerous papers, invited papers, commentaries and panel discussions for meetings like American Philosophical Association, Canadian Philosophical Association, Royal Netherlands Academy of the Sciences and Liberal Arts, International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law, Australasian Philosophical Association, Canadian Society for History and Philosophy of Science, International Congress on Logic, Methodology, and Philosophy of Science, and many other organizations and societies. Invited lectures given at McGill University, University of Amsterdam, University of Ottawa, University of Auckland, Australian National University, Free University of Brussels, University of Groningen, Edith Cowan University, and many other institutions.

Summary of Research Project presented to CRRAR at the University of Windsor, 'How Do You Argue? Let Us Count the Ways', Hans V. Hansen, Douglas Walton, Sam Atkin, Dillon Fowler, Laura Nicola and Shane Perron, Nov. 17, 2011.

Invited Lecture given to the International Symposium on Analytical Philosophy, 'Knowledge and Action in Rational Deliberation', East China Normal University, Shanghai, October 30, 2011.

Invited Lecture, 'Finding the Logic in Argumentation', given to the Logic Section of the Department of Philosophy at the East China Normal University, Shanghai, Nov. 3, 2011.

Invited talk to CRRAR, University of Windsor, 'The Problem of Enthymemes: Is There Help on the Way from AI?', October 13, 2011.

Invited Lecture, 'A Dialectical Model of Scientific Discovery and Inquiry', read for the Department of Theoretical Philosophy at the University of Groningen, Sept. 29, 2011.

Douglas Walton and Floris Bex, Assumption University Public Lecture, 'Evidential Reasoning in the Process of Proof', University of Windsor, Sept. 13, 2011.

Floris Bex and Douglas Walton, paper 'Combining Evidential and Legal Reasoning with Burdens and Standards of Proof', read at the Workshop on AI and Evidence, held at the International Conference on AI and Law, June 10, 2011.

Invited Lecture, 'Story Similarity and Arguments from Analogy', given at the second Summer Institute of the Centre for Research in Reasoning, Argumentation, and Rhetoric "Reasoning and Argument: Computing and Cognitive Science Perspectives," University of Windsor, May 24, 2011.

Modeling Critical Questions as Additional Premises, paper read at the 8th International Conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA), May 18, 2011, Windsor, Ontario.

Reply to 'Conflict and Consultation', commentary read at the 8th International Conference of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation (OSSA), May 21, 2011, Windsor, Ontario, 1-4.

Invited lecture, 'Finding the Logic in Argumentation' at the conference Teaching Argumentation and Logic, University of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal, March 24, 2011.

Invited lecture, 'Concepts and Methods of Argumentation' at the School of Law (CIRSFUD), University of Bologna, March 2, 2011.

Invited lecture 'Finding the Logic in Argumentation' presented for the Argumentation Lab at the City University of Lisbon, March 28, 2011.

Invited lecture at Pompeu Favre University in Barcelona April 4, 2011 on the book *Circe's Traps: Logical Fallacies and Informal Argumentation* by Montserrat Bordes Solanas.

Seminar presentation on a research proposal on argumentation schemes in science education given with Fabrizio Macagno to the research unit on science education at the University of Barcelona on April 5, 2011.

Paper, 'Teleological Argumentation to and from Motives', read at the International Conference on Quantitative Aspects of Justice and Fairness, European University Institute, Florence, Italy, Feb. 25, 2011.

CRRAR Lecture, 'Identifying Types of Arguments in Text: First Steps towards an Identification Procedure', University of Windsor, Nov. 10, 2010.

Assumption University Public Lecture, 'What is Proof Beyond a Reasonable Doubt?', University of Windsor, October 14, 2010.

Douglas Walton and Fabrizio Macagno, 'Burdens of Proof and Persuasion in Everyday Argumentation', 7th Conference of the International Society for the Study of Argumentation, Amsterdam, paper presented July 1, 2010.

Thomas F. Gordon and Douglas Walton, 'A Formal Model of Legal Proof Burdens and Standards', 7th Conference of the International Society for the Study of Argumentation, Amsterdam, paper presented July 2, 2010.

Invited to give the Keynote Address at the COMMA (Computational Models of Argument) 2010 Conference in Desenzano del Garda, Italy, September 8-10, 2010.

Invited Speaker at the Philosophy of Language Institute of the Faculty of Human and Social Sciences at the New University of Lisbon, Portugal, February 2010.

Paper, 'Conductive Arguments in Ethical Deliberation', read at the CRRAR Symposium on Conductive Arguments, University of Windsor, May 1, 2010.

Presentation in 'Panel Discussion on Applying Rules to Cases in Ethical Reasoning', a public lecture at Assumption University, January 21, 2010.

Invited talk to CRRAR, University of Windsor, 'Building on Scriven's Views on Explanation', January 14, 2010.

Invited Speaker for Argupolis, 'Tools for Argument Analysis', at the University of Lugano, Switzerland, December 14-15, 2009.

Thomas F. Gordon and Douglas Walton, 'Visualizing Arguments Using Carneades', Assumption University Public Lecture, Windsor, Ontario, November 2, 2009.

'Argument Visualization Tools for Corroborative Evidence', paper read at the Second International Conference on Evidence Law and Forensic Science, Beijing, China July 25, 2009.

Presentation, 'Using Argumentation Schemes for Automated Argument Detection in Legal Texts', Workshop on Natural Language Engineering of Legal Argumentation, Twelfth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law, Barcelona, Spain, June 12, 2009.

Thomas F. Gordon and Douglas Walton, 'Legal Reasoning with Argumentation Schemes', paper read at the Twelfth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law, Barcelona, Spain, June 10, 2009.

Presentation, 'An Overview of the Use of Argumentation Schemes in Case Modeling', Workshop on Modeling Legal Cases, Twelfth International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law, Barcelona, Spain, June 8, 2009.

Paper, "Objections, Rebuttals and Refutations", read at OSSA 2009, Windsor, Ontario, June 4, 2009.

Keynote Speaker 'Burden of Proof in Deliberation Dialogs', at the Sixth International Workshop on Argumentation in Multi-Agent Systems (ArgMAS 2009), held in conjunction with the Eighth International Conference on Autonomous Agents and Multiagent Systems, Budapest, Hungary May 12, 2009.

Paper, 'Fallacy Theory and Defeasible Reasoning', read at the CRRAR Colloquium on Fallacies, University of Windsor, April 3, 2009.

Assumption University Public Lecture, 'Heuristics and Fallacies', Assumption University, University of Windsor, April 2, 2009.

Invited Speaker 'Elements and Methods of Argumentation Theory', at the Department of Philosophy, University of Padua, Italy, December 2, 2008.

Invited Speaker 'Argumentation Schemes and Burden of Proof in Legal Argumentation', at the Law Faculty, University of Padua, Italy, December 1, 2008.

Assumption University Public Lecture, 'Argumentation in Artificial Intelligence and Law', Assumption University, University of Windsor, November 20, 2008.

Invited Speaker at the International Commemorative Colloquium on Rhetoric and Argumentation of the Faculty of Letter of the University of Coimbra, Coimbra, Portugal, October 2-4, 2008.

Plenary Speaker at the IADA (International Association for Dialogue Analysis) Workshop on Word Meaning in Dialogue Argumentation, 'Presumption and Burden of Proof', held in Milan, Italy, at the Catholic University of Milan, May 15th to May 17th 2008.

Invited Talk for AILACT (Association for Informal Logic and Critical Thinking) Panel Discussion, 'Argument Mapping and teaching Critical Thinking', Central APA (American Philosophical Association) Meeting, Chicago, April 17, 2008.

Keynote Speaker at the workshop, Strategies in Argumentation, University of Groningen, the Netherlands, February 14-15, 2008.

Invited participant in the Perspectives Workshop: Theory and Practice of Argumentation Systems, January 20-23, 2008 at Schloss Dagstuhl Internationales Begegnungs und Forschungszentrum for Informatik, Saarbrücken, Germany.

Keynote Speaker at the conference "The Uses of Arguments" sponsored by the Faculty of Arts at the University in Bergen, Norway, January 4-6, 2007.

Invited Speaker at the Conference "Graphic and Visual Representations of Evidence and Inference in Legal Settings", Cardozo School of Law, New York, January 28-29, 2007.

Invited public lecture, presentation and discussion with faculty members, and short course for graduate students at the University of Lugano, Switzerland, April 17-26, 2007.

Invited Speaker at the Workshop on Explanation-Aware Computing (ExaCt 2007), at the AAI-07 Conference, Vancouver, July 22-23, 2007.

Invited Speaker at the Conference on Communication Technology in the Department of Communication at Rutgers University, April 2, 2006.

Invited Speaker at Conference on Norms and Knowledge at Technical University of Eindhoven, Boxmeer, the Netherlands, June 3-4, 2005 (Invited lecture on practical reasoning).

Paper read, with Henry Prakken and Chris Reed, at ICAIL (International Conference on AI and Law) at University of Bologna, Italy, June 6-11, 2005.

Two workshop presentations of papers at the ICAIL Conference (International Conference on Artificial Intelligence and Law), June 10, 2005 at the University of Bologna.

Invited lecture at the University of Siena, Department of Philosophy, June 13, 2005.

Invited presentation, 'Fallacies in Dialogue', in panel discussion, 'Going Wrong and Putting Right in Argumentation', August 5, 2005, at the Fourteenth NCA/ AFA Conference on Argumentation (ALTA Conference) held at Rustler Lodge, Alta, Utah.

Paper, 'Current Research in Argumentation and its Applications to Computing and Law', read in the Department of Computer Science Colloquium at the University of Liverpool, England, December 5, 2005.

Invited lecture 'Dialogue Systems for Argumentation in AI and Law' given on Dec. 13, 2005, in the TILT (Tilburg Institute for Law, Technology and Society) Lecture Series at the University of Tilburg, Holland.

Keynote Speaker (Methods of Informal Logic and Legal Argumentation) on September 17, 2004, at the Conference on Informal Logic and Legal Argumentation held at the Institute of Logic and Cognition of Sun Yat-sen University in Guangzhou, China.

Keynote Speaker (Argumentation Schemes for Legal Reasoning Systems) at the 17th Annual Conference on Legal Knowledge and Information Systems (JURIX 2004) held in Berlin, Germany, December 8-10, 2004.

Invited Speaker at the Conference 'Visions of Rationality in Evidence Law', held at Michigan State University Detroit College of Law, April 3-7, 2003.

Invited Speaker at the Second Joseph Bell Centre Workshop on the Evaluation of Evidence, University of Edinburgh, July 1, 2003.

Participant in the workshop, 'Computational Models of Natural Argument' at the 15th European Conference on Artificial Intelligence (ECAI) in Lyon, France, July 23, 2002.

Invited Plenary Session Speaker in the conference, 'Argumentation in Dialogic Interaction' at Lugano, Switzerland, July 2, 2002.

Presentation of a paper with Chris Reed at the Fifth ISSA Conference on Argumentation at the University of Amsterdam on June 28, 2002.

Invited speaker on the sunk costs argument at the Austrian Economics Colloquium at New York University (Dept. of Economics) on Nov. 11, 2002.

Keynote Speaker at the Symposium on Argument and Computation, Perthshire, Scotland, June-July 2000.

Invited public lecture given to the Department of Communication Studies at Northwestern University in April 1999.

Keynote Speaker at the Meeting of the Ontario Society for the Study of Argumentation at Brock University in May 1999.

Guest Speaker at Northwestern University in the Department of Communication Studies, May, 1999.

Invited Speaker in the Symposium on Law and Logic at Notre Dame Law School in 1997.

Invited Speaker at the Conference of Irrationality at the University of Montreal in 1997.

Many presentations given while Fellow of the Netherlands Institute for Advanced Studies in the Humanities and Social Sciences. Public Lecture given while Fellow of the Oregon Humanities Center. Keynote Speaker at the Conference on Formal and Applied Practical Reasoning in Bonn, Germany, June, 1996.

Invited participant at the First, Second and Third International Conferences on Argumentation in Amsterdam in 1986, 1990, and 1994.

Invited participant in the Dagstuhl Seminar on Reasoning and Argumentation in Schloss Dagstuhl, Germany, 1993.

Invited lecturer at Broward General Medical Center in the C.M.E. Noted Lecture Series of 1981.